PMAC PRINCE MAHIDOL AWARD CONFERENCE 2024

PS3.1

ECONOMICS AND OVERSEAS DEVELOPMENT AID (SPECIFIC ON DECOLONIZATION OF GLOBAL HEALTH)

| BACKGROUND

The process of decolonization, characterized by the liberation of formerly colonized nations from the grip of colonial powers, has had far-reaching effects across various domains, including global health. Decades of colonization had left enduring imprints on the health systems and resource allocations of these nations. The post-colonial era witnessed efforts to rectify historical injustices, leading to shifts in resource allocations and health priority setting. This session explores the multifaceted impact of decolonization on global health, focusing on the transition towards equitable resource distribution, the significance of localizing priority setting, the politics of official development assistance (ODA) funding, and the role of multilateral development banks in shaping alternate financing models.

Equitable Resource Distribution

Decolonization marked a turning point in global health, as many newly independent nations sought to overcome the disparities exacerbated by colonial rule. The colonial legacy had often left these nations with uneven access to healthcare resources, resulting in stark health inequalities. The pursuit of equitable resource distribution became a central concern, aiming to bridge the gap between resource[1]rich and resource-poor regions. International organizations and initiatives emerged to address this challenge. The World Health Organization (WHO), for instance, launched initiatives that aimed to allocate resources based on need rather than historical privilege. This transition towards equitable distribution was essential in tackling global health challenges in a more holistic and inclusive manner.

Localization of Priority Setting

Decolonization also underscored the importance of local perspectives in shaping health priority setting. Colonial powers had often imposed their own priorities and interventions on colonized nations, disregarding the unique health challenges these nations faced. Post-colonial nations recognized the value of localized priority setting, wherein health interventions and policies are tailored to the specific needs and cultural contexts of individual nations. This approach respects the autonomy and agency of nations in determining their health trajectories. Moreover, involving local communities and stakeholders in priority setting enhances the sustainability and effectiveness of interventions, as they are grounded in local knowledge and realities.

The Politics of Official Development Assistance (ODA)

Funding As decolonization gathered momentum, ODA emerged as a crucial tool in addressing global health challenges and supporting resource-poor nations. However, the politics surrounding ODA funding have been complex and at times contradictory. While ODA is often framed as a mechanism to support development and alleviate poverty, it can also be wielded as a tool of influence by donor nations. Political agendas of donor countries can influence the allocation of funds and the choice of health interventions in recipient nations. This raises questions about the extent to which ODA is driven by genuine concern for global health equity versus geopolitical interests. Thus, while ODA can facilitate positive changes in resource allocation and priority setting, its application must be critically examined to ensure it aligns with the principles of equity and self-determination.

Role of Multilateral Development Banks

Decolonization effects in global health have spurred the emergence of multilateral development banks as key players in financing and resource allocation. Institutions like the World Bank have adopted innovative approaches to health financing, aiming to provide sustainable solutions that transcend traditional aid models. The focus has shifted from mere financial assistance to capacity building and investment in health infrastructure. These institutions recognize the need to empower nations to manage their health systems effectively and allocate resources according to their priorities. By offering financial instruments like loans and grants, multilateral development banks facilitate resource allocation based on national development plans, fostering ownership and sustainability.

Alternate Models of Financing

Decolonization has encouraged nations to explore alternate models of health financing that align with their priorities and capacities. The one-size-fits-all approach of colonial times no longer suffices, as nations seek more agency in their health trajectories. Innovative financing mechanisms, such as results-based financing and social impact bonds, have gained traction. These models link financial incentives to the achievement of specific health outcomes, incentivizing efficient resource utilization. Additionally, domestic resource mobilization has gained prominence, emphasizing the responsibility of nations to invest in their own health systems. By diversifying financing sources, nations can exercise greater control over resource allocation and prioritize interventions that resonate with their unique contexts

| OBJECTIVES

Objectives of this parallel session Decolonization effects in global health have had profound implications for resource allocations and health priority setting. The transition towards equitable resource distribution, the emphasis on localizing priority setting, the complexities of ODA funding politics, and the role of multilateral development banks in providing alternate financing models collectively shape the landscape of global health. As the world continues to grapple with unprecedented challenges, such as the COVID-19 pandemic, the lessons from decolonization remind us of the importance of agency, equity, and inclusivity in shaping the future of global health. It is imperative for nations, organizations, and stakeholders to collaborate in fostering a health landscape that respects diversity, empowers local communities, and strives for universal well-being. This parallel session aims to first acknowledge and address the challenges in resource allocation and priority setting from a colonialization economic landscape, and then to identify possible solutions

Panelist

Kalipso Chalkidou

Head Health Finance

Global Fund
Switzerland

Kalipso Chalkidou is the founding Head of the Department of Health Finance at the Global Fund to Fight AIDS, Tuberculosis and Malaria, based in Geneva, Switzerland. She is a Visiting Professor of Global Health at the School of Public Health, Imperial College London.
Prior to the Global Fund, she was Director of Global Health Policy and a Senior Fellow at the Center for Global Development, based in London. Her past work has concentrated on helping governments build technical and institutional capacity for using evidence to inform health policy as they move towards Universal Healthcare Coverage. She is interested in how local information, local expertise and local institutions can drive scientific and legitimate healthcare resource allocation decisions. She has been involved in the Chinese rural health reforms and in national health reform projects in Colombia, Turkey and the Middle East, working with the World Bank, PAHO, DFID and the Inter-American Development Bank as well as national governments.

Between 2007 and 2008, she spent a year at the Johns Hopkins School of Public Health, as a Harkness Fellow in Health Policy and Practice, studying USG drug pricing policies.
Kalipso founded NICE International which she led for 8 years, and, more recently, of the international Decision Support Initiative (iDSI) which she directs and which is a multi-million multi-country network working towards better health around the world through evidence-informed spending in healthcare in low to middle income countries. With iDS, she has been involved in national reform projects in China, India, Vietnam, Ghana, Indonesia, Rwanda and South Africa working together with key national organisations such as the Thai Health Intervention and Technology Assessment Program (HITAP) and the Kenya Medical Research Institute (KEMRI).

She holds a doctorate on the molecular biology of prostate cancer from the University of Newcastle (UK), an MD (Hons) from the University of Athens and is a Visiting Professor at King’s College London and an adjunct professor at Griffith University in Australia. She has held visiting positions at the Johns Hopkins School of Public Health and the London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine, sits on several journal editorial boards and has published over 150 peer reviewed papers and book chapters (Google Scholar).
She sits on the Board of Consilium Scientific.